Questions	KANSAS CITY, KANSAS ****** See phone call notes at bottom	WESTERN KANSAS	AREA	MINNESOTA (AROUND MINNEAPOLIS AREA)	NORTHWEST INDIANA <mark>****** See</mark> phone call notes at bottom
What state are you with?	Kansas	Kansas	NE	Minnesota – NOTE here on wording. Joint Powers board = CEO Board. CEO=Chief Executive (an actual leadership role not the board)	Indiana
What region within your state? (If you know it, what is population of your region?)	Kansas City area (3 counties on KS side of metro) KC Metro region population 2.1 million	Area 1 – 62 counties in Western Kansas Population – 599.777	Southeast Region and the Greater Lincoln Workforce Development Area	Region 3—13 County Region Population: just under 800,000	Northwest Indiana 850,000 people
Closest estimate of your Title 1 funds for region.		1.5M	total approx. \$892,749 for PY	\$2.4 million for WIOA funding only Total regional funding, which includes Federal, State, and competitive grant funding/unrestrictive funds totals about \$12 million	\$7,800,000
Does Title 2, 3 or 4 cover any of the costs of the one-stop operator, fiscal agent costs, or board support costs? If yes, could you share more about how this works.	No. Through recent MOU work however we have credited our ABE providers and WP with	No – we have cost sharing agreements for rent, utilities, etc. where we are co-located.	Through the MOU funding agreements, partners share in a portion of the OSO cost. This item was negotiated as a shared cost for the period of 10-01- 2017 through 06-30-		Title 2 does based on co- location of adult education programs

	certain in-kind services		2018. There is no sharing of		
	that are applied to some		fiscal agent or board support		
	of the one-stop operator		costs.		
	costs (salaries) under				
	"other shared costs."				
Anything else we should know	We have been using a	We have 6 comprehensive One-	City General Fund allocations	Region 3 is made up of two	
about your region?	for-profit one-stop	Stops with co-locations – 4	contribute to administrative	LWDAs, #5 (11 counties) and #17	
	operator for many, many	itinerate locations where only	requirements. For example, my	(2 counties); they are aligned	
		Title IB exists – Title 3 does not	salary/fringe is paid out of WIOA		
	Title I services in our	support those offices at all.	and City General Fund.	core partners. There are 7 One-	
	area. Until this year, the		,	Stops, and 5 affiliate sites in the	
	only local area in KS that			Region	
	had a separate				
	contractor for One-Stop				
	and Title I services.				
		Deard	Current ant		
	1		Support		1
How many FTEs support your	8.5 (See note at bottom	1.5	1.0	Regional Board has 10 voting	Yes
board?	from phone call.)			members; business-led LWDB	
				#5 has 21 members: Joint	
	1 – Exec Dir, 1 – CFO, 1-			Powers Board has 11 County	
	Exec Admin, 1- Dir of			Commissioners	
	System Performance, 3				
	in Business Services (our				
	contractor no longer is				
	primary deliverer of Biz				
	services although they				
	support), 1.5 - IT				
Do you have a job description	I don't understand the	Yes please see attached	I will attach my resume which	We have job descriptions for all	Yes. The Board issued a
for your board support? Could	question. We have		summarizes duties	board members; the CEO is	competitive proposal to
you attach it?	board staff and each				secure board support, one
	have their own job				stop operator support, service
	description.			old—but I will send it)	delivery and fiscal agent.

What (estimate) is the board support salary?	Annual salaries for 8.5 FTEs is approx \$550,000	\$50K estimated	\$54.675 per hour	Carla, I will send this to you in another email.	
Anything else we should know about your region's board support?			1.0 FTE is not enough		
		Fiscal	Agent		
Is your board incorporated or a non-profit?	Non-profit 501(c)(3)	Non-profit	Local govt	Non-Profit 501 (c)(3)	
Does your board use a fiscal agent to administer funds, provide payroll services for board staff, manage one-stop operator funds, etc?	Yes – to administer funds, provide payroll services, complete/file Form 990. They do not manage our one-stop operator's funds.	Fiscal Agent	City Finance Department	Yes! The non-profit is the fiscal agent set up by the Joint Powers Board. It is the fiscal agent, administrative entity, and oversees the OSO. Until WIOA changed its status, the non- profit was also the OSO.	
If they do use a fiscal agent, do you know what the agreement is for covering costs for the fiscal agent? Is it a % of total funds managed or a flat fee? And what does that look like.	Flat fee. Approx. \$26,400 annually	Approximately \$50k	There is 1.0 FTE within the Finance Department charged to WIOA	The fiscal agent cannot take out over 10% administrative costs. The 10% admin cost covers all programs and grant funding. So we use a "formula cost pool", which covers the CEO, HR, Finance Director, and LWDB	
Anything else we should know about your region's fiscal agent?		No	This is the local area fiscal agent, not the region's fiscal agent	In 2001, the CEO cancelled all service contract and hired staff and trained them to better service clients, and business. We have met our performance standards since this time.	
One Stop Operator					
Does your region have a one- stop operator in place? If no, could you share why/barriers you've encountered.	Yes – been in place for years. Serves as one- stop and Title I provider of services.	Consortium – we have had 3 RFPs – 2 we did not have any response to. State would not approve sole source. We had a	Yes, selection of a local area OSO was made through an RFP process.	Our First OSO RFP Responder did Yes not get approved, due to lack of information. We just approved the second round and have a	

		3 <sup>rd</sup> party conduct a third RFP –		consortium of partners. They are	
	Must be careful to	just was awarded going through		doing inkind services for	
		sole source request and contracts		\$190,000 and will receive only	
	functions v. functions of	now.		\$6,000 from the board in cash	
	the contractor.			for the work of the OSO. It is	
				basic duties and they will	
				provide services only in two	
				comprehensive centers.	
				The Region 3 Leadership and	
				Planning Board oversees the	
				OSO. We did this as a region, as	
				we have the same One-stop core	
				partners.	
If you do have an operator, who	Dynamic Workforce	Consortium – WIOA Title IB,	ResCare Workforce Services	A consortia of Title II—ABE, Title	CWI
is it?	Solutions	Adult Education, Carl Perkins and		III—Wagner Peyser, and Title	
		Vocational Rehabilitation		IV—VRS (we took out the Title I	
				partner, because the CEO is staff	
				to the board and has the Title I	
				staff.	
Could you share a description of	It is a long laundry list	In process now – the RFP is on our		I will send you the RFP with the	Provides coordination
what the one-stop operator's	since they also do Title I	website	i win attach something.	duties.	services for the region with all
duties are per their agreement	services for us – rather	atwww.kansasworkforceone.org			partners, MOU's, essentially
with the board?	blended with our one-				what is described in the
with the board:	stop services.				law. Also provides common
	stop services.				technical assistance support as
					well as all IT/computer
					services for all the one stop
					offices and affiliate sites.
How much funding does the	PY17 budget for one-			\$6,000	
one-stop operator receive	stop and Title I A/DW is		the amount is		
annual?	\$1,182,759 – and that				
	includes carryover funds		\$19,998.97		
	from prior year				

Anything else we should know	They are a for-profit	We are not center driven we are	Minnesota has used the	
about the one-stop operator for	entity. We provide	system-driven because of the size	"consortia model" successfully	
your region?	incentive bonus	of our area, lack of co-location,	for decades, the RFP summarizes	
	payments for exceeding	etc.	the work we have done together	
	certain goals. Those		during all these years of service.	
	goals are revisited each		All titles are co-located in the	
	year and set forth in		One Stops in MN. MN is far	
	separate addendum to		ahead in some areas than other	
	the contract.		states in the consortia model.	

## KANSAS CITY, Kansas

Called to talk about 8.5 FTE (\$550,000 staff costs) when have \$1.8M WIOA Title 1 Dollars. They have other grants and funding streams that these staff are also paid out of and cover. She also shared that part of the board staff funds come from programmatic funds as well. The board staff are also out in the community, promoting and working on the boards strategic plan, coordinating with businesses, making sure on target, etc. They feel some of that is programmatic work. Recently added 3 FT board staff members who spend about 90% of their time functioning as BSRs. They work with businesses, have pulse of local needs, make sure board is meeting those needs, etc.

## Northwest Indiana

In 2005 Governor of Indiana decided to redo the districts and they went to a 2 region state....Indianapolis and balance of state. A lot of push back, frustration over this. In 2011 he said "fine, go back to what ever you want" So they did redo regions again. The governor said that there would be no formal/paid board support to save money. The state workforce dept ran the RFP processes for the 2 regions. Then title 1 staff 'staffed' the boards. She said they got a waiver for this. Remember this is back in the time of WIA.

The region I spoke with, shared that there are many different ways that regions are set up in Indiana. In some regions, the local board decided to take on doing Title 1 services, so they hired all the Title 1 staff and are the employer of record. In the area I spoke with, they were retained by the local board to continue providing Title 1 services, as well as OSO and Board Support. Her local board put out three RFPs for all three areas (Title 1, OSO, Board Support) and stated in the RFPs that they PREFER an entity who will bid on all three, and do all three services, as they feel it is more efficient/effective and better use of funds. So that is what her group did, and they were awarded all three. She said that the state came in and was a little nervous about this set up but in the end said it's fine because it was competitively procured. DOL was also in and reviewed the set up and said it was fine as well.